

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Sasha Del Giorgio](#)  
**Subject:** IWMA Board Meeting Public Comment October 11, 2023 -- Items 8 and 9  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 10, 2023 9:48:40 PM

---

IWMA Board Meeting Public Comment October 11, 2023 -- Items 8 and 9

(Please redact my email address from these comments)

**IWMA Board Meeting -- Public Comment Item 8, Receive and File Monthly Financial Reports, October 11, 2023**

Exhibit C, Credit Card Expenditure Report--September 2023

What is the IWMA doing with \$3546.41 worth of Green Slim Jims? At approximately \$41 per item at Webstaurant, that is about 86 Green Slim Jims.

09/13/2023 Webstaurant Green Slim Jims  
5050290 Services and Supplies:Other Minor Equipment \$ 3,546.41

Who gets these? Restaurants and food service businesses? How are they notified they exist? Notification about the small food waste bins for the public home seems to be a closely held IWMA secret. Is this going to be a similar situation? Sadly--even assuming the question is answered during the meeting; I'll probably never know because I won't be driving 60 miles round trip or paying SLO parking fees--and the meetings aren't streamed to the public.

\*\*\*\*\*

**IWMA Board Meeting -- Public Comment Item 9, Discussion of the Status of the County of San Luis Obispo's Consideration of Rejoining the IWMA. October 11, 2023**

2023 COUNTY JOINDER AMENDMENT TO SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED JOINT POWERS AGREEMENT OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY

Item D.1: 'Recommend changing title of 2022 JPA to read, "*Second Amended and Restated Joint Powers Agreement to Establish an Integrated Waste Management Authority in San Luis Obispo County, California.*" This would remove the reference to 'cities' but keep the reference to SLO County, which has value as an identifier in the title.

Item D.2.A -- defines "County" as *any unincorporated areas of the County of San Luis Obispo*. Reading on, I see it might be more clear to define County as 'The County of San Luis Obispo government as representative of the county's unincorporated areas'

In D.4.A, it reads, "*(g) County. The County shall be entitled to elect one representative to the Authority which representative shall consist of one (1) member from the Board of Supervisors. The County may elect to have an alternate member in addition to any official member but said alternate shall be an elected or appointed official and shall be able to vote only in the absence of the official representative.*"

First--I question the clarity of the first sentence: "which representative . . ."--is that right? Or should it be "that"; i.e., "The County shall be entitled to elect one representative to the

Authority that shall consist of one (1) member from the Board of Supervisors." (restrictive clause)

Second--With the definition for County as stated in D.2.A, the sentence in D.4.A could read, 'The unincorporated areas of the County of San Luis Obispo shall be entitled to elect one representative . . .' Is that true? There will be no election. The SLO County supervisors will most likely appoint a representative on behalf of the unincorporated areas. So--my proposed definition of "County" might be more clear.

In 9.2 (a) Cities. of the current JPA, it reads "*. . . but only the cities incorporated in the County of San Luis Obispo presently or in the future, are declared eligible for membership in the Authority as a regional agency.*" But isn't SLO County a regional agency--so does this need to be reworked?

And then in (g) for County, I'd also add what's in the current JPA for Authorized Districts; i.e., something like: "The County Representative shall have all rights, power, and authority granted to a City representative." Or is a County also an Authorized District?

\*\*\*I see now that D.6. Omnibus Change might resolve my question about powers and authority granted (but why leave the reader in suspense from D.4 to D.6)

**So, something to think about, but overall--it's confusing--and could be simplified.**

Jeff Carr--Paso Robles, CA